

## Productivity, nutrient content, nutrient uptake and post-harvest soil nutrient status of pigeonpea as influenced by pigeonpea-based intercropping system on hilly terraces of Nagaland

LENMEM YOSUNG AND T. GOHAIN

Department of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema, 797106, Nagaland, India

Received, August, 2024; Revised accepted, November, 2024

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on "Productivity, nutrient content, nutrient uptake and post-harvest soil nutrient status of pigeonpea as influenced by the pigeonpea-based intercropping system on hilly terraces of Nagaland" during the kharif season of 2021 and 2022 at the experimental research farm, School of Agricultural Sciences (SAS), Medziphema, Nagaland University. There were 16 different treatments such as  $T_1$  - pigeonpea + rice (1:1),  $T_2$  - pigeonpea + rice (1:2),  $T_3$  - pigeonpea + rice (1:3),  $T_4$  - pigeonpea + sesame (1:1),  $T_5$  - pigeonpea + sesame (1:2),  $T_6$  - pigeonpea + sesame (1:3),  $T_7$  - pigeonpea + greengram (1:1),  $T_8$  - pigeonpea + greengram (1:2),  $T_9$  - pigeonpea + greengram (1:3),  $T_{10}$  - pigeonpea + soybean (1:1),  $T_{11}$  - pigeonpea + soybean (1:2),  $T_{12}$  - pigeonpea + soybean (1:3),  $T_{13}$  - sole pigeonpea,  $T_{14}$  - sole rice,  $T_{15}$  - sole sesame,  $T_{16}$  - sole greengram and  $T_{17}$  - sole soybean. The cultivation practices were the same for all the treatments. Experimental findings revealed that sole pigeonpea  $T_{13}$  recorded the highest seed yield ( $1382.41 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), stover yield ( $3219.42 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), nutrient content in seed and stover of nitrogen (2.91 and 0.614 %), phosphorus (2.24 and 0.309 %) and potassium (0.248 and 1.003 %) and nutrient uptake nitrogen ( $40.51$  and  $20.14 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), phosphorus ( $31.14$  and  $10.13 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and potassium ( $3.45$  and  $32.92 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). However, among the intercropping system, pigeon pea + soybean (1:1)  $T_{10}$  recorded elevated seed yield ( $1330.37 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), stover yield ( $3121.91 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and yield advantage over other treatments. It also recorded higher nutrient content (%) in seed and stover for nitrogen (2.91 and 0.612 %), phosphorus (2.23 and 0.306 %) and potassium (0.246 and 1.00 %) and nutrient uptake in seed and stover of nitrogen ( $38.87$  and  $19.51 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), phosphorus ( $29.84$  and  $9.77 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and potassium ( $3.29$  and  $31.89 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). Significantly higher levels of available soil nitrogen were noted in pigeonpea + soybean (1:3)  $T_{12}$  ( $271.87$  and  $288.42 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) intercropping system before and after harvest of the crop, potentially attributable to the additional biomass contributed by the intercrops.

**Key words:** Intercropping system, Pigeonpea, Nutrient content, Nutrient uptake, and Yield.

### INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea is an important legume crop of rainfed agriculture in the semiarid tropics and is considered India's second most important pulse crop after chickpeas. The ability of pigeonpea to adapt and grow well under moisture deficit makes it a pre-eminent crop. Pigeonpea is considered one of the most essential drought-tolerant pulse crops due to the deep root system and germplasm, which have higher osmotic adjustment than other pulses. In recent times, pigeonpea have been gaining popularity due to their restorative nitrogen capacity in the soil and the addition of much organic matter. Pigeonpea also acts as a soil ameliorant and provides several benefits to the soil and its growing environment. Humans and livestock use seeds, pods, and leaves, which are rich in nutrition. The

crop generally enhances soil fertility through leaf litter and biological nitrogen fixation (Udhaya *et al.*, 2015). The deep penetration and lateral spread of the root system in the soil profile enable the crop to tolerate drought, nutrient uptake minimisation and moisture uptake from deeper soil layers. When pigeonpea is grown as a sole crop, it is relatively inefficient because of its slow initial growth rate and low harvest index. Intercropping results in higher yield over sole cropping with practical usage of existing resources along with diversified crops with diverse rooting ability, canopy arrangement, height and nutrient requirements, based on the corresponding exploitation of growth resources by the component sole crops (Lithourgidis *et al.*, 2011). Resources can be utilised better when growing more than one crop than when developing a mono-cropping system

(Sobkowicz, 2006). Besides increased overall productivity and income, intercropping of legumes with cereals helps conserve moisture by reducing runoff, improving the physical properties of soil and building up soil fertility. As short-duration herbs, legumes like green gram/black gram may constitute potential intercrop in upland rice under rainfed conditions. Therefore, we aimed to diversify pigeonpea through intercropping with various crops to enhance and stabilise the productivity of pigeonpea in rainfed uplands.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigation was conducted in the experimental farm of the School of Agricultural Sciences (SAS) Nagaland University Campus, Medziphema, during the *kharif* season 2021 and 2022. The farm is situated at the foothill of Nagaland at 310 meters above the mean sea level with a geographical location of 25° 45' 43" North latitude and 95° 53' 04" East longitude. The average temperature during summer varies from 21-32°C, while during winter; it varies from 8-20°C. The average rainfall varies from 2000-2500 mm starting from April, whereas in November-March, it is dry. The experimental plot's soil was acidic, well-drained and sandy loam in texture. The experiment was carried out with the following treatments, T<sub>1</sub> - pigeonpea + rice (1:1), T<sub>2</sub> - pigeonpea + rice (1:2), T<sub>3</sub> - pigeonpea + rice (1:3), T<sub>4</sub> - pigeonpea + sesame (1:1), T<sub>5</sub> - pigeonpea + sesame (1:2), T<sub>6</sub> - pigeonpea + sesame (1:3), T<sub>7</sub> - pigeonpea + greengram (1:1), T<sub>8</sub> - pigeonpea + greengram (1:2), T<sub>9</sub> - pigeonpea + greengram (1:3), T<sub>10</sub> - pigeonpea + soybean (1:1), T<sub>11</sub> - pigeonpea + soybean (1:2), T<sub>12</sub> - pigeonpea + soybean (1:3), T<sub>13</sub> - sole pigeonpea, T<sub>14</sub> - sole rice, T<sub>15</sub> - sole sesame, T<sub>16</sub> - sole greengram and T<sub>17</sub> - sole soybean. The cultivation practices were the same for all the treatments. The recommended dose of fertiliser for the main crop and sole of the intercrop was applied in all the plots.

Seeds were treated with fungicide before sowing. The recommended dose of fertilisers was given (pigeon pea 20:40:30, rice 60:40:30, sesame 30:40:30, green gram and soybean 20:60:40) in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, N was applied as three split doses while P and K as basal doses during sowing. In the case of

intercropping treatments, fertilisers were applied proportionately to the sole optimum population for the main crop and intercrop separately. Weeding and plant protection measures were undertaken per their need, and the required plant population was maintained. 'PA 291', 'CAU R2', GT 10, Pusa Vishal and JS 9752 varieties of pigeonpea, rice, sesame, greengram and soybean respectively were used. The crops were harvested at their physiological maturity and sun-dried properly before threshing, then the weight for each plot was taken separately, recorded and converted. Nutrient uptake in seed and stover of pigeonpea crop was calculated in kg/ha in relation to dry matter production/ha using the formula.

Nutrient uptake (kg/ha)

$$= \frac{\text{Nutrient content (\% in seed or stover)} \times \text{Yield (seed or stover in kg/ha)}}{100}$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### I Seed yield of pigeonpea

#### Yield of pigeonpea

The seed yield of pigeonpea in different intercropping systems was significantly influenced. Pooled data for 2021 and 2022 are presented in Table 2. Sole pigeonpea T<sub>13</sub> significantly recorded superior crop yield (1382.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (3219.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and was substantially at par with pigeonpea+soybean (1:1) T<sub>10</sub> (1330.37 and 3121.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for seed and stover yield respectively). Meanwhile, the minimum value was recorded in pigeonpea+rice (1:3) T<sub>3</sub> (830.74 and 2169.45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for seed and stover yield respectively). Higher yield in sole crop might be due to higher values of yield-attributing characters, such as lower competition for resources, viz. space, moisture, and nutrients in sole cropping, compared to intercropping. Results confirmed the findings of Biradar *et al.* (2020), who also reported that sole pigeonpea produces the highest seed yield. Similar findings were also reported by Kithan *et al.* (2020).

### II Response of plant nutrient content and uptake

#### Nutrient content (%) in pigeonpea:

An inquiry of pooled data depicted on nutrient content in pigeonpea was statistically

Table1: N P K content (%) of pigeonpea under different intercropping systems

| Treatments                   | N-content<br>Seed (%) | N-content<br>Stover (%) | P-content<br>Seed (%) | P-content<br>Stover (%) | K-content<br>Seed (%) | K-content<br>Stover (%) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> : P+R (1:1)   | 2.87                  | 0.605                   | 2.20                  | 0.301                   | 0.237                 | 0.996                   |
| T <sub>2</sub> : P+R (1:2)   | 2.80                  | 0.599                   | 2.16                  | 0.295                   | 0.234                 | 0.992                   |
| T <sub>3</sub> : P+R (1:3)   | 2.77                  | 0.593                   | 2.12                  | 0.285                   | 0.227                 | 0.988                   |
| T <sub>4</sub> : P+S (1:1)   | 2.89                  | 0.609                   | 2.22                  | 0.304                   | 0.246                 | 0.998                   |
| T <sub>5</sub> : P+S (1:2)   | 2.81                  | 0.600                   | 2.17                  | 0.297                   | 0.234                 | 0.993                   |
| T <sub>6</sub> : P+S (1:3)   | 2.78                  | 0.594                   | 2.13                  | 0.288                   | 0.228                 | 0.989                   |
| T <sub>7</sub> : P+G (1:1)   | 2.88                  | 0.606                   | 2.21                  | 0.303                   | 0.241                 | 0.997                   |
| T <sub>8</sub> : P+G (1:2)   | 2.84                  | 0.601                   | 2.18                  | 0.298                   | 0.236                 | 0.994                   |
| T <sub>9</sub> : P+G (1:3)   | 2.79                  | 0.595                   | 2.14                  | 0.293                   | 0.230                 | 0.990                   |
| T <sub>10</sub> : P+SB (1:1) | 2.91                  | 0.612                   | 2.23                  | 0.306                   | 0.246                 | 1.000                   |
| T <sub>11</sub> : P+SB (1:2) | 2.85                  | 0.603                   | 2.19                  | 0.299                   | 0.237                 | 0.995                   |
| T <sub>12</sub> : P+SB (1:3) | 2.80                  | 0.598                   | 2.15                  | 0.295                   | 0.232                 | 0.991                   |
| T <sub>13</sub> : Sole P     | 2.91                  | 0.614                   | 2.24                  | 0.309                   | 0.248                 | 1.003                   |
| SEm±                         | 0.01                  | 0.001                   | 0.01                  | 0.001                   | 0.000                 | 0.001                   |
| CD (P=0.05)                  | 0.02                  | 0.002                   | 0.04                  | 0.002                   | 0.001                 | 0.004                   |

P=Pigeonpea, R=Rice, G=Greengram, SB= Soybean GG=Greengram

analysed and presented in Table 1, revealing higher nutrient content in seed and stover of sole pigeonpea T<sub>13</sub> for nitrogen (2.91 and 0.614 %), phosphorus (2.24 and 0.309 %) and potassium (0.248 and 1.003 %) in both the years of the experiment and was significantly at par with Pigeonpea + Soybean (1:1) for nitrogen (2.91 and 0.612 %), phosphorus (2.23 and 0.306 %) and potassium, (0.246 and 1.0 %). While

Pigeonpea + Rice (1:3) T<sub>3</sub> recorded the least nutrient content in seed and stover for nitrogen (2.77 and 0.593 %), phosphorus (2.12 and 0.285 %) and potassium (0.227 and 0.988 %). Similar findings were reported by Das (2012), who noted that the cropping system significantly affected the N and P content of seed and stover in sole pigeonpea.

Table2: N P K-uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of pigeonpea under different intercropping systems

| Treatments                   | N-uptake<br>seed<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | N-uptake<br>stover<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | P-uptake<br>seed<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | P-uptake<br>stover<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | K-uptake<br>seed<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | K-uptake<br>stover<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Seed<br>yield<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Stover<br>yield<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> : P+R (1:1)   | 33.52                                      | 17.54                                        | 25.72                                      | 8.73                                         | 2.78                                       | 28.88                                        | 1169.08                                 | 2814.91                                   |
| T <sub>2</sub> : P+R (1:2)   | 27.89                                      | 14.83                                        | 21.48                                      | 7.32                                         | 2.32                                       | 24.56                                        | 989.44                                  | 2454.46                                   |
| T <sub>3</sub> : P+R (1:3)   | 23.09                                      | 12.87                                        | 17.68                                      | 6.19                                         | 1.89                                       | 21.42                                        | 830.74                                  | 2169.45                                   |
| T <sub>4</sub> : P+S (1:1)   | 36.19                                      | 18.36                                        | 27.72                                      | 9.16                                         | 3.07                                       | 30.08                                        | 1218.89                                 | 2903.33                                   |
| T <sub>5</sub> : P+S (1:2)   | 28.45                                      | 15.32                                        | 21.93                                      | 7.57                                         | 2.37                                       | 25.34                                        | 1011.30                                 | 2518.36                                   |
| T <sub>6</sub> : P+S (1:3)   | 24.92                                      | 13.50                                        | 19.13                                      | 6.54                                         | 2.04                                       | 22.47                                        | 897.59                                  | 2272.86                                   |
| T <sub>7</sub> : P+GG (1:1)  | 34.91                                      | 17.97                                        | 26.76                                      | 8.99                                         | 2.92                                       | 29.56                                        | 1189.81                                 | 2850.52                                   |
| T <sub>8</sub> : P+GG (1:2)  | 30.76                                      | 16.43                                        | 23.59                                      | 8.13                                         | 2.55                                       | 27.17                                        | 1082.22                                 | 2635.37                                   |
| T <sub>9</sub> : P+GG (1:3)  | 23.90                                      | 12.96                                        | 18.35                                      | 6.39                                         | 1.97                                       | 21.56                                        | 857.41                                  | 2133.93                                   |
| T <sub>10</sub> : P+SB (1:1) | 38.87                                      | 19.51                                        | 29.84                                      | 9.77                                         | 3.29                                       | 31.89                                        | 1330.37                                 | 3121.91                                   |
| T <sub>11</sub> : P+SB (1:2) | 28.95                                      | 15.32                                        | 22.27                                      | 7.60                                         | 2.41                                       | 25.26                                        | 1016.67                                 | 2536.30                                   |
| T <sub>12</sub> : P+SB (1:3) | 26.02                                      | 13.98                                        | 20.01                                      | 6.89                                         | 2.16                                       | 23.18                                        | 930.74                                  | 2317.35                                   |
| T <sub>13</sub> : Sole P     | 40.51                                      | 20.14                                        | 31.14                                      | 10.13                                        | 3.45                                       | 32.92                                        | 1382.41                                 | 3219.42                                   |
| SEm±                         | 0.53                                       | 0.27                                         | 0.43                                       | 0.14                                         | 0.04                                       | 0.45                                         | 23.85                                   | 54.02                                     |
| CD (P=0.05)                  | 1.50                                       | 0.76                                         | 1.22                                       | 0.38                                         | 0.13                                       | 1.28                                         | 67.83                                   | 153.59                                    |

#### Nutrient uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in pigeonpea

A critical examination of the pooled data presented in Table 2 revealed that the nutrient uptake in seed and stover was highest in sole pigeonpea T<sub>13</sub> for nitrogen (40.51 and 20.14 kg

ha<sup>-1</sup>) and was found at par with pigeonpea + soybean (1:1) T<sub>10</sub> (38.87 and 19.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly, for phosphorus (31.14 and 10.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (3.45 and 32.92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) the highest value was noted in sole pigeonpea T<sub>13</sub>

recorded in sole rice T<sub>14</sub> (229.05 and 242.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Intercropping resulted in a significant increase in available soil nitrogen content. Singh *et al.* (2008) observed that the highest values of available soil N, P and K were recorded after the harvest of crops in the intercropping system of maize+ soybean.

**Available phosphorus (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

**Available nitrogen (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

**Available potassium (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

### Soil pH

Pooled data related to the soil pH of pigeonpea with intercrops as influenced by different treatments are summarized in Table 3, from the given mean data of 2021 and 2022, it can be observed that soil pH failed to show any significant difference under an intercropping system with pigeonpea.

[illegible]

### Soil organic carbon (%)

The pooled data pertaining to soil organic carbon are presented in Table 3. Data in the table indicate that the intercropping system with pigeonpea treatments had no significant impact on the soil organic carbon in the first year of the experiment in 2021 but was influenced significantly in 2022. The highest soil organic carbon was found pigeonpea + soybean T<sub>12</sub> (1.62 %) and was considerably at par with found pigeonpea + greengram T<sub>9</sub> (1.55 %), sole soybean T<sub>17</sub> (1.54 %), sole greengram T<sub>16</sub> (1.52 %) and sole pigeonpea T<sub>13</sub> (1.52 %). Chidowe *et al.* (2017) reported similar observations in their experiment.

### CONCLUSION

Based on the experiment results, the following conclusion can be made: sole pigeonpea T<sub>13</sub> recorded superior seed yield over the rest of the treatments. Among the intercropping systems, pigeon pea + soybean

(1:1) T<sub>10</sub> intercropping recorded the highest seed yield and yield advantage over other treatments. The sole pigeonpea crop also recorded higher nutrient content and nutrient uptake, possibly due to higher seed yield of the main and intercrop. Soil organic carbon and available soil nitrogen were significantly higher in the pigeonpea + soybean (1:3) T<sub>12</sub> intercropping system, which may be associated with biomass added by the intercrops and the ability of the legumes to fix atmospheric nitrogen.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Here I am expressing my profound appreciation and gratitude to my major advisor and committee members. The authors extend their heartfelt thanks to the School of Agricultural Sciences (SAS), Nagaland University, Medziphema campus, for providing field staff, facilities and necessary assistance throughout this study.

### REFERENCES

- Biradar, S. A., Devarnavadagi, V.S., Hotkar, Shivalingappa. Kolhar, B.C. and Rathod, S.C. (2020) Performance of pigeonpea (*Cajanuscajan* L.) based intercropping system with millets under Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan* **9**(1): 277-281.
- Chidowe, O. A., Destiny, M. Y. and Aisha, A. (2017) Soil organic carbon concentrations and stocks under maize/legume cropping system in alfisols of asavanna zone, Nigeria. *British Journal of Applied Science and Technology* **21**(1): 1-12.
- Chhetri, B. and Sinha, A. C. (2020) Advantage of maize (*Zea mays*)-based intercropping system to different nutrient management practices. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **65**(1): 25-32.
- Darshan, R., Hiremath, S. M. and Harsha, K. N. (2009) Pigeonpea–sesame intercroppingsystems for sustained production in northern transition zone of Karnataka. *Journal of Crop and Weed* **5**(1): 305-307.
- Das, K. K. (2012) Performance of pigeonpea + soybean cropping system as influenced by sources and levels of phosphorus and their residual effect on succeeding wheat. Ph.D. Thesis. Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut. UP.
- Deshmukh, P. Swapnil., Surve, V., Patel, H. H., Patel, T. U. and Patel, D. D. (2020) Effect of row spacing and intercropping in pigeon pea under rainfed condition of South Gujarat. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **9**(1): 1571-1573.
- Kaparwan, D., Rana, N. S., Vivek and Dhyani, B. P. (2021) Effect of Mustard on yield attributes, nutrient uptake and quality of chickpea under different nutrient management levels and intercropping treatments in chickpea + mustard intercropping system. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science* **10**(3): 427-433.
- Kasbe, A. B., P. N. Karanjikar, P. N., Dhoke, M. K. and Deshmukh R. B. (2010) Effect of planting pattern on soybean and pigeonpea intercropping system. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **6**(1): 330-332.

- Kithan, L. (2012) Effect of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) intercropping on weed dynamics. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Nagaland University, Medziphema.
- Kithan. L., Sharma, M.B., Longchar, A., Sema, T. and Sumi, K. (2020) Exploring yield potential of pigeonpea and soybean intercropping systems in NEHZ. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* **32** (9): 20-27.
- Kotadiya, P.B., Leva, R.L., Usadadiya, V. P., Chaudhary B.J. and Shiyal, V. N. (2023) Effect of sesame based intercropping system with different levels of nitrogen on nutrient content, uptake and post-harvest soil status. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* **12**(7): 1101-1108.
- Kumar, U. and Kushwaha, H. S. (2018) Studies on nutrient management in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp] based intercropping system of urdbean, sesame and mung bean. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **7**(2): 490-494
- Lithourgidis, A. S., Dordas, C. A., Damalas, C. A. and Vlachostergios, D. N. (2011) Annual intercrops: an alternative pathway for sustainable agriculture. *Australian Journal of Crop Science* **5**: 396 - 410.
- Mohan, G., Gohain, T., Tzudir, L., A.P. Singh, A.P. and Nongmaithem, D. (2023a) Study on yield, nutrient content and nutrient uptake of rice-based intercropping system as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Biological Forum – An International Journal* **15**(7): 141- 146.
- Mohan, G., Gohain, T., Yadav, R., Longkumer, L. T. and Dolie, S. (2023b) Intercropping of rice with groundnut and soybean with different nutrient management practices under rainfed upland conditions of Nagaland. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* **25**(3): 404-411.
- Shivakumar, R., Vidya Sagar, G.E.Ch., Suresh, K., Sharma, S. H. K and Naik, D. S. (2022) Effect of sequential intercropping systems and integrated nutrient management on nutrient uptake of Pigeonpea. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change* **12** (6): 65-74.
- Singh, R., Malik. J. K., Thenua, O.V.S. and Jat, H. S. (2013) Effect of phosphorus and bio-fertilizer on productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) + mungbean (*Phaseolus radiata*) intercropping system. *Legume Research* **36**:41-48.
- Sobkowicz, P. (2006) Competition between triticale and field beans in additive intercrops. *Plant Soil and Environment* **52**: 47-56
- Udhaya, N. D., Vimalendran, L., Latha, K. R., Sangamithra, S. and Kalaiyaran, V. (2015) A review on biological advantages of pigeonpea intercropping influenced by different cropping geometries. *International Journal Agricultural Science Research* **5**: 103-12.
- Zhao, X., Qiqi Dong, Q., Han, Y., Kezhao Zhang, K., Shi, X., Yang, X., Yuan, Y., Zhou, D., Wang, K., Wang, X., Jiang, C., Liu, X., Zhang, H., Zhang, Z. and Yu, H. (2022) Maize/peanut intercropping improves nutrient uptake of side-row maize and system microbial community diversity. *BMC Microbiology* **22**(14): 1-14.